

FROM:

best ranger
602 tullis st. n.e.
olympia, wa 98506

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAR 21 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

TO:

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

02-277

0

04/11/11 11:11


I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. I believe that the Commission's traditional goals -- to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market -- can only be served by retaining all of the current media ownership rules now under review. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry.

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the FCC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity. While there may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited.

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is a central pillar of our democracy; the founders of the country believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas. If FCC policy changes to allow national media "market share" to be concentrated among still fewer competitors, the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

I strongly urge the FCC to pay attention to the public comments received at public hearings in Richmond, New York, Seattle, Durham, and hopefully in other cities around the nation. I think it is important for the FCC to consider not only the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue, but also those with a social or civic interest.

Thank you.





RECEIVED & INSPECTED

FCC - MAILROOM

DM: 1
TAD-15264

02-277

0

Journal of Interpersonal Violence 27(10)

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. I believe that the Commission's traditional goals—to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market—can only be served by retaining all of the current media ownership rules now under review. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry.

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the FCC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity. While there may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited.

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is a central pillar of our democracy; the founders of the country believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas. If FCC policy changes to allow national media "market share" to be concentrated among still fewer "competitors," the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

I strongly urge the FCC to pay attention to the public comments received at public hearings in Richmond, New York, Seattle, Durham, and hopefully in other cities around the nation. I think it is important for the FCC to consider not only the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue, but also those with a social or civic interest.

Thank you,

Steven C. Taubman 3/13/2003

FROM:

Candice Coster
2607 Holmes St
Port Townsend
WA 98368

2 14C



37

02-277

TO:

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street SW

Washington, DC 20554

0

FCC-MAR 9

MAR 9 1 20L

1/14/1984

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. I believe that the Commission's traditional goals—to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market—can only be served by retaining all of the current media ownership rules now under review. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry.

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the FCC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity. While there may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited.

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is a central pillar of our democracy; the founders of the country believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas. If FCC policy changes to allow national media "market share" to be concentrated among still fewer "competitors," the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

I strongly urge the FCC to pay attention to the public comments received at public hearings in Richmond, New York, Seattle, Durham, and hopefully in other cities around the nation. I think it is important for the FCC to consider not only the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue, but also those with a social or civic interest.

Thank you,

Carol Corne